

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,360	01/30/2001	Christopher J. Chase	2000-0660	1500
75	590 07/21/2005		EXAM	INER
Samuel H. Dworetsky			MURPHY, RHONDA L	
AT&T CORP.	·			
ONE AT& T WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROOM 2A-207			2667	
BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/772,360	CHASE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Rhonda Murphy	2667			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	, ,	the correspondence address			
Period for	• •		•			
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 BIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute toply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing at patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. If from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 M</u>	lay 2005.				
2a)⊠ ˈ	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	on of Claims	•				
4) 🛛	Claim(s) <u>19</u> is/are pending in the application.	·	·			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) 19 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
7) 🗌 🔻						
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
1	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) i	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)□ T	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
	All b) Some * c) None of:	·. ,				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
•	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Appl	ication No			
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio					
	application from the International Bureau					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumi	mary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date .11/17/04. ETDS	6) Other:	Tier + event Application (F+O+132)			

Art Unit: 2667

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This communication is responsive to the amendment filed on May 9, 2005.
 Accordingly, claim 19 is currently pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baum et al. (US 6,771,673) in view of Stoner et al. (US 6,052,383) and Tsukamoto et al (US 6,498,794).

Regarding claim 19, Baum discloses an Ethernet protocol network comprising a plurality of platforms (aggregation unit 1010) coupled to an infrastructure (see Fig. 8), each platform serving at least one customer for statistically multiplexing frames onto the infrastructure from said one customer (col. 17, lines 33-36) and for statistically demultiplexing frames off the infrastructure to the one customer (it is known in the art that a multiplexed frame is de-multiplexed to recover the original signal) wherein each platform sending a frame overwrites said frame with a customer descriptor that identifies the sending customer (col. 8, lines 17-22; col. 16); and routes the frame on a path obtained by mapping the customer descriptor to such path (col. 8, lines 30-31).

Baum fails to explicitly disclose the receiving platform mapping the customer descriptor through an ATM switch router to a corresponding one of a plurality of Frame Relay and ATM Permanent Virtual Circuits.

However, Stoner discloses the receiving platform (Fig. 1, LAN interface means 19) mapping the customer descriptor (ATM packets inherently include customer descriptors – source and destination information - so as to identify customers sending and receiving packets) through an ATM switch router (ATM interface means 17) to a corresponding one of a plurality of Frame Relay and ATM Permanent Virtual Circuits (ATM line 3).

In view of this, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the system of Baum by mapping the customer descriptor through an ATM router to an ATM PVC, in order to create a point-to-point link between two recipients that wish to communicate with each other (col. 1, lines 19-21).

Moreover, Baum fails to explicitly disclose the infrastructure as being a fiber ring infrastructure.

However, the fiber ring infrastructure of the above mentioned limitations is taught by Tsukamoto in col. 5, lines 59-62; a ring network composed of optical fiber.

In view of this, having the teachings of Baum and Stoner, and then given the teaching of Tsukamoto, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Baum and Stoner's system with

Tsukamoto's fiber ring infrastructure, so as to obtain the benefits associated with utilizing a fiber infrastructure to transmit at an optimal rate.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on May 9, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant's statement on page 4 of the amendment, in reference to Baum's method teaching away from the invention. Baum teaches a packet that is received, at the aggregation unit (platform), from a customer and further forwarded. The aggregation unit sends a packet in which layer 2 header information may be removed (col. 8, lines 17-19) and a unique bit string (context information which includes customer-specific information; col. 7, lines 45-55) may be added (col. 8, lines 19-22; col. 8 lines 32-39). Therefore, Baum's disclosure teaches on the claimed limitations.

Furthermore, Stoner discloses the receiving mapping the customer descriptor through an ATM switch router to a corresponding one of a plurality of Frame Relay and ATM Permanent Virtual Circuits (illustrated in Figure 1).

The combined teaching of Baum, Stoner and Tsukamoto - who discloses a fiber ring infrastructure, therefore teaches the claimed system.

Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rhonda Murphy whose telephone number is (571) 272-3185. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on (571) 272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner Art Unit 2667

rlm

CHI PHAM

PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

1/20/05